

# Golden Hills Elementary School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year

#### Published During 2019-20

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

### **School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)**

Entity	Contact Information
<b>School Name</b>	Golden Hills Elementary School
<b>Street</b>	2400 Via Canela Ave
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Oroville
<b>Phone Number</b>	5305326000
<b>Principal</b>	Ms. Kristi Napoli
<b>Email Address</b>	knapoli@palmok8.org
<b>Website</b>	<a href="http://goldenhills.palmokschools.org/">http://goldenhills.palmokschools.org/</a>
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	04615230110510

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	Palermo Union School District
Phone Number	(530)533-4842
Superintendent	Kathleen Andoe-Nolind
Email Address	kandoe@palermok8.org
Website	<a href="http://www.palermoschools.org/">http://www.palermoschools.org/</a>

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

The Palermo Union School District is comprised of five schools; Helen Wilcox (K-3), Honcut Elementary School (K-2), Golden Hills Elementary School (4-5), Palermo Middle School (6-8), and Community Day (K-8). The district is located in Butte County, in the northern part of California's Sacramento Valley.

Palermo Union School District Vision: All Palermo students will receive a meaningful and challenging education that will provide the opportunity to become life-long learners and motivated to be contributing members of society.

Palermo Union School District Mission: In partnership with parents and other community stakeholders, it is the mission of the Palermo School District to create the conditions necessary to ensure that every Palermo student achieves our vision.

School Description: Golden Hills Elementary School, built in 2006, is located south of Oroville and has approximately 300 students. Golden Hills provides a Title 1 School-Wide Program (SWP) in addition to reading Intervention, math Intervention, English Language Development, special education, Indian Education, and library services. Every classroom at Golden Hills is considered a smart room, equipped with technology which is used to reach our diverse learners. This technology includes a projector, document camera, and amplification systems. In addition, each student is assigned a Chromebook. Every student at Golden Hills receives music education once a week from a music teacher and has the opportunity to join a weekly beginning band class. Golden Hills also has a focus on increasing physical fitness for students by holding monthly school-wide fitness activities and culminating in an annual two-week Olympic event at the end of the school year. In addition to our required P.E. minutes, students also receive weekly instruction from a physical education teacher.

Golden Hills embraces the Professional Learning Community (PLC) model as well as the Learning For All concept. The teaching staff and administrators have developed strategies to teach students of all ability levels, including implementation of MTSS (Multi-tiered System of Support: academic, behavior and social emotional interventions), Read 180 (intensive reading intervention), System 44, leveled reading and leveled math classes, and a school-wide intervention block (including ELD). I-Ready is used for diagnostic and learning modules to differentiate instruction for all students. Professional development has been focused on implementing trauma informed responses for all students. There is a one hour S.T.E.A.M. block (Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics). Professional Development for the staff is focused on Trauma Informed Responses, PLC, NGSS and ELD strategies.

School Goals: All students will think critically, collaborate, and persevere through rigorous, relevant and engaging tasks to reach academic success. Progress indicators will be CAASP scores, local district benchmarks. Local assessments, both formative and summative, are monitored and analyzed weekly, each trimester, and at the end of the school year.

Mission Statement: Golden Hills, in a cooperative effort with students, families, and the community, will provide an excellent educational program to ensure that all students are successful life-long learners. We will maintain a safe, caring, moral, drug-free, and supportive environment, with the ultimate goal of students becoming successful, productive, and responsible citizens.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 4	135
Grade 5	154
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>289</b>

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	5.5
Asian	3.8
Filipino	0.3
Hispanic or Latino	34.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	45
Two or More Races	8.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81
English Learners	11.8
Students with Disabilities	11.4
Foster Youth	1.4
Homeless	5.9

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential	13	13	14	71
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

## Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2019

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Advance 2017 Houghton Mifflin Read 180 Universal 2016 System 44 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt	Yes	0.0
Mathematics	McGraw Hill: My Math 2013	Yes	0.0
Science	MacMillian/ McGraw Hill: California Science	Yes	0.0
History-Social Science	My World- Interactive: Pearson 2019	Yes	0.0

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The LEA takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the LEA uses a facility inspection tool developed by the State of California OPSC. The results are available at the school office. Below is more specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functional learning environment. Golden Hills was built in 2006. It has 12 classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library, and an administration building. A staff work room is located in the administration building. Student and staff restrooms are adequately located throughout the campus and are kept clean and in good working order. Golden Hills maintains a playground and garden area. The campus is gated and locked when school is not in session. Parents and guests sign in, and out, at the office. LEA maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair, and working order, are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. A daytime custodian takes care of the day-to-day operational needs on site, while helping to maintain safety and cleanliness. A night time custodian maintains the cleanliness of the school by cleaning the entire facility each night.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

**Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 10/15/2019**

<b>System Inspected</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned</b>
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	Good	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	Good	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	Grounds side campus drinking fountain needs repair
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

#### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	41	32	33	32	50	50
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	24	27	19	20	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	302	293	97.02	2.98	32.08
Male	145	139	95.86	4.14	29.50
Female	157	154	98.09	1.91	34.42
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	18	17	94.44	5.56	23.53
Asian	12	12	100.00	0.00	33.33
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	102	101	99.02	0.98	29.70
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
White	132	127	96.21	3.79	37.01

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Two or More Races	30	28	93.33	6.67	25.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	250	243	97.20	2.80	28.40
English Learners	55	55	100.00	0.00	21.82
Students with Disabilities	36	36	100.00	0.00	11.11
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	27	26	96.30	3.70	30.77

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	302	292	96.69	3.31	26.71
Male	145	138	95.17	4.83	26.09
Female	157	154	98.09	1.91	27.27
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	18	17	94.44	5.56	17.65
Asian	12	12	100.00	0.00	25.00
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	102	101	99.02	0.98	24.75
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
White	132	126	95.45	4.55	29.37
Two or More Races	30	28	93.33	6.67	25.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	250	242	96.80	3.20	23.14
English Learners	55	55	100.00	0.00	20.00
Students with Disabilities	36	35	97.22	2.78	11.43
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	27	25	92.59	7.41	20.00

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

#### Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

#### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
5	18.1	33.8	18.8

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

Parental Involvement is an important part of student success. Parents and guardians are given the opportunity to work in a mutually supportive and respectful partnership with the school to help their child succeed. We encourage parents/guardians to volunteer in the classroom and on field trips, as well as attend Back to School Night, Open House, Parent/Teacher Confernece, Title 1 Meetings, Muffins for Moms, Doughnuts for Dads, STEAM night and other family events. Golden Hills benefits from an active Parent Teacher Group (PTG). The PTG works with the community on various fundraisers and supports student activities. Parents are encouraged to serve on the School Site Council (SSC). Parents of English Learners (EL students) are encouraged to serve on the English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). Parents and guardians can support their child's learning environment by monitoring school attendance and homework completion, participating in extracurricular activites, volunteering at school, attending SSC meetings, and by planning and participating in activities at home that are supportive of classroom instruction.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Suspensions	10.2	0.3	6.3	9.0	7.0	5.6	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.1

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

The Palermo Union School District Comprehensive Safety Plan/Emergency Management Plan is reviewed, updated and approved by the Governing Board annually by March 1. Each school maintains a copy of the Safety Plan on site and a copy of the site Emergency Conditions portion of the plan is maintained in each classroom. Key elements of the Safety Plan include: a plan of action for maintaining a safe and orderly environment conducive to learning at the school (i.e. assessment of school safety, identification of strategies and programs that will maintain school safety and campus security) and emergency management procedures consistent with the Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) and the National Incident Management System (NIMS) developed by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

Key elements of the site Emergency Conditions include: a plan of action for a coordinated school wide response to emergencies (i.e. intruder on campus, fire, emergency lockdown, evacuation, etc.). Safety drills are held on a regular basis. Fire drills are held monthly. Earthquake/disaster and lock down drills are held twice a year. All employees wear ID badges and visitors to the campus are required to sign in at the school office and wear a visitor's pass at all times.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19
	Average Class Size	# of Classes* 1-20	# of Classes* 21-32	# of Classes* 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* 1-20	# of Classes* 21-32	# of Classes* 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* 1-20	# of Classes* 21-32	# of Classes* 33+
4	22	1	5		30		4		23	1	5	
5	30		5		26	1	4		31		5	
Other**												

\*Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

### Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	.0

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.25
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.25
Psychologist	.33
Social Worker	
Nurse	.33
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.25
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$10,278	\$2,656	\$7,622	\$67,802
District	N/A	N/A	\$8,943	\$71,747.00
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-15.9	-5.7
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$77,619.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	1.5	-13.5

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) from the state provides general funding for the base programs and supplemental programs. The LCFF funds are distributed based on the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) adopted by the PUSD board and developed by the district in conjunction with stakeholders including parents, students, school employees and other stakeholders.

Golden Hills Elementary School provides standards based, high quality instruction to all students in all subject areas. We provide additional academic support and supplemental services through school wide intervention classes within the school day and through after school tutoring. Achievement data and student progress is regularly monitored in order to insure that students are assigned to appropriate classes and intervention services. Students that are not meeting grade level standards also receive instructional support from our education specialists.

The purpose of Title I, federal funds help to meet the educational needs of low-achieving students in California's highest-poverty schools. Funds are used to support effective, research-based educational strategies that close the achievement gap between high-and low-performing students and enable the students to meet the state's challenging academic standards. Title I funding supports improvement of the teaching and learning of children who are at risk of not meeting academic standards and reside in areas with high concentration of children from low -income families. It also provides additional academic support from instructional aides who work in the classroom under the guidance of a credentialed teacher.

Title III Limited English Proficient - The purpose of the Title III Limited English Proficient (LEP) Student Program is to ensure that all LEP students, referred to as English learners in California, attain English proficiency, develop high levels of academic attainment in English, and meet the same challenging state academic standards as all other students. English Language Learners who have not yet reached fluency receive approximately 35 minutes of English Language instruction daily, in addition to their core Reading/ELA classes.

Title VII funding is used to provide Indian Education students with additional academic support from an instructional aide who provides in-class assistance.

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49,369	\$49,378
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$68,610	\$77,190
Highest Teacher Salary	\$97,777	\$96,607
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$107,933	\$122,074
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$116,233	\$126,560
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$126,920
Superintendent Salary	\$155,000	\$189,346
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35%	36%

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

### Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement			

The district schedules staff development on non-student days and/or non-contract days, or during after school hours. Staff development is delivered through after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, and PLC. Area of focus for staff development is selected based on need, as shown in achievement data. Teachers are supported through teacher-principal meetings and professional learning communities. PUSD teachers engage in active staff development throughout the year by attending in-District training sessions given by staff and consultants, as well as site based sessions presented at staff meetings, committee/grade level meetings, and during formal observation post conferences. Staff development has focused on fostering Trauma Informed Responses, Positive Behavior Supports (PBIS) and professional collaborative teams. Site sessions, as well as follow-up classroom observations and feedback have been provided on the following topics: English Language Development, Student Engagement, Social-Emotional Learning, Benchmark Advance, System 44 and I-Ready.